REMARKS

Status of the Claims:

Claims 16 - 22 are pending.

Claims 1-16 were previously cancelled.

Claims 16 was objected to.

Claim 16 has been amended by this response.

The Examiner objected to the Specification for the following reasons:

The Examiner stated that while the abstract gives a synopsis of the structure of the invention, it does not describe that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains.

The Examiner has required a new title that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The abstract has been amended to describe that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains.

The title has been amended to clearly to be clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim 16 was objected to the informality of a mis-spelling of "anisotropically". Claim 16 had been amended to correct this mis-spelling.

The final office action of 11/30/04 only references claims 16-20. However, the applicant's response of 09/07/04 added two new claims 21 and 22. Applicant's assume that the final response indicates an allowance of these new claims.

No new matter has been added by these amendments.

The applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the claims in view of the amendments and remarks made herein. A notice of allowance is earnestly solicited.

It is believed that all of the pending Claims have been addressed. However, the

absence of a reply to a specific rejection, issue or comment does not signify agreement

with or concession of that rejection, issue or comment. In addition, because the

arguments made above may not be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of

any or all pending Claims (or other Claims) that have not been expressed. Finally

nothing in this paper should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to

any Claim, except as specifically stated in this paper, and the amendment of any Claim

does not necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the Claim prior to its

amendment.

Applicant believes that no fees are currently due; however, should any fee be

deemed necessary in connection with this Amendment and Response, the Commissioner

is authorized to charge deposit account 08-2025, referencing the Attorney docket number

100200451-7.

Respectfully submitted,

Heon Lee

By: Brian Short, Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 41,309

Date: January <u>3</u>, 2005

Ph. No.: 650-236-4890

Hewlett-Packard Company
Intellectual Property Section
1501 Page Mill Rd. M/S 1197 (4U-10)

Palo Alto, CA 94304-1112

8